



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

701
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,845	08/29/2003	Harmel Defretin	68.0353	2840
35204	7590	07/22/2005	EXAMINER	
SCHLUMBERGER RESERVOIR COMPLETIONS 14910 AIRLINE ROAD ROSHARON, TX 77583				COLLINS, GIOVANNA M
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		3672		

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/652,845	DEFRETIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Giovanna M. Collins	3672	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 30-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 15-26 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 8-13 and 27-29 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040412</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-31, drawn to slickline, classified in class 166, subclass 70.
 - II. Claims 32-37, drawn to device for well, classified in class 340, subclass 80.
 - III. Claim 38, drawn to well tool, classified in class 166, subclass 89.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require an actuator. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a pipeline.
3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Art.Unit: 3672

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because downhole power. The subcombination has separate utility such as running a laser drill.

4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as running a laser drill. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Invention I listed about

7. Species I a- slick line with modulator having obstacle and reflective device
8. Species I b-slickline with spinner.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8,6-26 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3672

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. During a telephone conversation with Jaime Constanza on 6/15/05 and Kevin McAfee on 6/30/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species IA, claim 1-13,15-29. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14,30-38 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claims 1-5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thompson 4,695,542.

Referring to claims 1-3, Thompson discloses an apparatus for a well comprising a slickline (30) having a bore through which one or more fiber optic cables (14) extends and is attached to a tool

Referring to claim 4-5, Thompson discloses the support fibers (24) in the slickline to add strength.

Referring to claim 16, Thompson discloses the slickline is adapted to support a weight of greater than or equal to 500 pounds (col. 7, liens 47-55).

13. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 15, 17-20, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wharton 4,389,645.

Referring to claims 1-3, 6 Wharton discloses an apparatus for a well comprising a slickline (12) having a bore through which one or more fiber optic cables (25) extends and is attached to a tool (11).

Art Unit: 3672

Referring to claims 7 and 26, Wharton disclose the tool comprises a modulator to modulate optical to represent a well characteristic detected by the sensor (col. 2, lines 1-5)

Referring to claim 15, Wharton discloses the tool (11) receives an actuation command through the fiber optic line.

Referring to claims 17-18, 20 and 23-24, Wharton discloses a conveyance structure (12) having a bore, one or more fiber optic lines (25) extending through the bore the conveyance tube not being used to transmit power or data separate for the fiber optic line (col. 2, lines 10-20).

Referring to claim 19, Wharton discloses a transmitter to transmit the optical signal over the fiber optic line (col. 2, lines 1-2).

14. Claims 1-3,6,15,17-48,20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kendall '6,274,816.

Referring to claims 1-2 and 6, Kendall an apparatus for a well comprising a slickline (12,14) having a bore through which a fiber optic cable (18) extends (col. 2, lines 60-62) attached to a tool (col. 1, lines 10-19).

Referring to claims 3 and 24, Kendall discloses another fiber optic cable (24).

Referring to claim 15, Kendall discloses the tool receives an actuation command through the fiber optic line (col. 1, lines 10-20).

Referring to claims 17-18, 20 and 25, Kendall discloses a conveyance tube (20) of steel material having a bore, a fiber optic line (18) extending through the bore the

Art Unit: 3672

conveyance tube not being used to transmit power or data separate for the fiber optic line (col. 2, lines 10-20).

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 8-13 and 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Giovanna M. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-7027. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gmc
gmc



David Bagnell
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3670